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OVERVIEW

In this supplemental material, we first analyze the effect of the proposed physics-based generative adversarial models in Section 1. Then, we show that the proposed algorithm can be applied to dynamic scene deblurring, and super-resolving blurred images in Section 2-3, respectively. Finally, we show more visual comparisons in Section 4.

1 PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

As mentioned in Section 6 of the manuscript, the proposed method without the physics model constraint reduces to the GAN model [1] with the loss function (9), which is also similar to pix2pix [2]. We also examine the effect of the proposed physics-based GAN models on text image deblurring. In this supplemental material, we clarify the differences from the most related methods.

1.1 Additional Comparisons

BaseGAN. Our method without the physics model reduces to the GAN model with the loss function (9). However, without the physics model, this method cannot generate physically correct results where the colors and structures are not preserved well as shown in Figures 1(b) and 2(b).

CycleGAN. CycleGAN (which is designed for the problem when the paired images are not available) involves two discriminative networks and two generative networks. The cycle consistency loss is used to constrain the network. However, as this algorithm is trained on unpaired images, it may lead to the color distortion in the recovered images (see Figures 1(c) and 2(c)).

PCycleGAN. As mentioned in Section 6 of the manuscript, our algorithm involves two discriminators. It is similar to the CycleGAN algorithm. For fair comparisons, we train the CycleGAN algorithm on the paired images (i.e., PCycleGAN). According to our analysis in Section 6 of the manuscript, training the CycleGAN algorithm on paired images usually leads to trivial solutions due to the cycle consistency loss (see Figures 1(d) and 2(d)). Although the proposed method is able to recover clear text images, a natural question is whether the recovered character is the ground truth character. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method, we use the OCR accuracy [3] to evaluate the accuracy of each recovered character. Table 1 shows that the proposed method is able to generate clear text images thus facilitating OCR accuracy.

1.2 Effect of the Adversarial Loss

The discriminator \( D_g \) and its corresponding adversarial loss are used to generate more realistic images. To demonstrate this effect, we compare with the proposed method without using the adversarial loss w.r.t. \( D_g \) on the text image deblurring dataset. In addition, we ignore the discriminator \( D_h \) and its corresponding adversarial loss in the proposed algorithm to demonstrate its effect on text image deblurring. We use the OCR accuracy [3] to measure the accuracy of the recovered characters. The results in Table 1 show that using the discriminators \( D_g \) and \( D_h \) with the corresponding adversarial losses can improve the OCR accuracy.

2 DYNAMIC SCENE DEBLURRING

As mentioned in Section 5.3 of the manuscript, the proposed method can be applied to dynamic scene deblurring. In this supplemental material, we evaluate our method on the dynamic scene deblurring dataset [4]. The proposed algorithm performs favorably against the the state-of-the-art methods as shown in Table 2. The results in Figures 3 and 4 show that the proposed method generates much clean images compared to the state-of-the-art algorithms.
Fig. 1. Effectiveness of the proposed physics-based GAN models on image deblurring. The result by BaseGAN still contains blurred characters. The result generated by CycleGAN contains significant blur residual and the background contains some color distortions. The result generated by PCycleGAN still contains significant blur residual. All of these results are in line with our analysis. In contrast, our method generates a much clearer image with recognizable characters compared to BaseGAN.

3 Super-resolving Blurred Images

We note that the recent work [9] focuses on super-resolving blurred text and face images. We generate the training dataset according to [9] and compare the proposed method with [9]. The scaling factor for this problem is set to be 4. We randomly select 20 blurred face images for test where the test images and training images are not overlapped. Table 3 shows that the proposed method performs favorably against [9].

4 More Experimental Results

In this section, we show more experimental results and compare our method with the state-of-the-art algorithms.
Fig. 2. Effectiveness of the proposed physics-based GAN models on image dehazing. As discussed, the CycleGAN algorithm usually leads to the results with color distortions, e.g., the white blobs in the sky in (c). The PCycleGAN tends to generate trivial solutions. Thus, the results by this method still contain haze residual. BaseGAN does not preserve the main structures of the recovered image. In contrast, our method generates much clearer images.

**TABLE 1**
Quantitative evaluations of the proposed method using OCR accuracy on the text image deblurring dataset [3]. The proposed method is able to generate clear text images which are close to the ground truth text images.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>CNN [3]</th>
<th>BaseGAN</th>
<th>CycleGAN</th>
<th>PCycleGAN</th>
<th>without $D_h$</th>
<th>without $D_g$</th>
<th>Ours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCR accuracy</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
<td>91.8%</td>
<td><strong>98.2%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2**
Quantitative evaluations with the state-of-the-art methods on the dynamic scene deblurring dataset by Nah et al. [4]. “Ours-o” denotes the proposed method which is trained on the uniform blur dataset. “Ours-n” denotes the proposed method without using physics model, which is trained on the dataset by Nah et al. “Ours-D” denotes the proposed method which is trained on the dataset by Nah et al.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>26.68</td>
<td>29.23</td>
<td>28.70</td>
<td>29.12</td>
<td>28.45</td>
<td><strong>30.02</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSIM</td>
<td>0.7407</td>
<td>0.8336</td>
<td>0.8862</td>
<td>0.9162</td>
<td>0.9023</td>
<td>0.9018</td>
<td>0.9012</td>
<td><strong>0.9201</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3. The proposed method can be applied to dynamic scene deblurring and generates comparable deblurred results.

Fig. 4. The proposed method can be applied to dynamic scene deblurring and generates comparable deblurred results.

**TABLE 3**

Quantitative evaluations of the proposed method on the problem of super-resolving blurred face images. The proposed method is able to generate clear high-resolution face images with higher PSNR and SSIM values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Xu [9]</th>
<th>Ours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>22.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSIM</td>
<td>0.9245</td>
<td>0.9302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 5. More comparisons from text image deblurring dataset [3]. The proposed method generates images with much clearer characters.

Fig. 6. More comparisons from text image deblurring dataset [3]. The proposed method generates images with much clearer characters.
Fig. 7. Visual comparisons on face image deblurring. The proposed method generates much clearer face images.
Fig. 8. The proposed algorithm can be applied to image super-resolution (×4) problem and generates comparable results.
Fig. 9. Comparisons of image dehazing results. The colors of the stone in (b)-(d) are over-estimated. There still exist haze residuals in (e)-(g). The proposed method generates much clearer images.

Fig. 10. Comparisons of image dehazing results. The result by the dark channel prior contains artifacts as shown in (b). The details of the result in (d) are not preserved well. The results in (e)-(g) still contain haze residuals. The proposed method generates much clearer images.
Fig. 11. Comparisons of image dehazing results. The result by the dark channel prior contains artifacts as shown in (b). There still exist haze residuals in (c)-(g). The proposed method generates much clearer images.

Fig. 12. Comparisons of image dehazing results. There still exist haze residuals in (b)-(g). The proposed method generates much clearer images.
Fig. 13. Image dehazing results on the dataset [24]. The proposed method generates much clearer images.

Fig. 14. Image dehazing results on the dataset [24]. The proposed method generates much clearer images.
Fig. 15. Image dehazing on a real image. The proposed method generates a comparable result.

Fig. 16. Image dehazing on a real image. The proposed method generates a much clearer and brighter image.
Fig. 17. Image dehazing on a real image. The proposed method generates a much clearer and brighter image.

Fig. 18. Image dehazing on a real image. The proposed method generates a much clearer and brighter image, where the regions of the sky are better restored.
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